Smart Internet of Things

www.siot.reapress.com

Research Expansion Alliance

Smart. Internet. Things. Vol. 3, No. 1 (2026) 32–38.

Paper Type: Original Article

# AI-Optimized Routing Protocols for Energy-Efficient IoT Networks

**Arafa Abdelzaher Nasef[1,*], Arya Ashutosh Das[2]**

[1] Department of Physics and Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh (33516), Egypt; nasefa50@yahoo.com.

[2] School of Computer Science Engineering, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar, India; 2229018@kiit.ac.in.

**Citation:**

| | |
|---|---|
| *Received: 26 May 2025*<br>*Revised: 27 July 2025*<br>*Accepted: 28 September 2025* | Nasef, A., & Ashutosh Das, A. (2026). AI-optimized routing protocols for energy-efficient IoT networks. *Smart Internet of Things, 3*(1), 32-38. |

## Abstract

The advent of the Internet of Things (IoT) has led to a significant increase in the number of connected devices across various sectors, such as smart cities, healthcare, and industrial automation. These devices often operate in environments with limited power resources, making energy efficiency a critical consideration in IoT network design and implementation. Traditional routing protocols, while effective in conventional networks, frequently fall short in addressing the unique challenges posed by IoT, where devices are characterized by low power, mobility, and a dynamic operational context. The primary goal of this research is to explore AI-optimized routing protocols and their role in enhancing energy efficiency within IoT networks. This paper outlines how integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into routing protocols can address these challenges. AI techniques, including Machine Learning (ML) and Reinforcement Learning (RL), enable real-time optimization of routing paths based on current network conditions, device energy levels, and traffic patterns. By leveraging predictive algorithms, AI can significantly reduce energy consumption while maintaining reliable communication between devices. For instance, RL approaches allow devices to learn optimal routing strategies through experience, adapting to changes in the network environment and improving overall efficiency. The research presents a comprehensive review of existing literature on AI-based routing protocols, highlighting key methodologies and their effectiveness in real-world applications. Case studies demonstrate the successful deployment of AI-optimized routing in various IoT scenarios, showcasing improvements in energy management and operational lifespan of devices. These examples underline the potential for AI to transform energy management strategies within IoT networks, allowing for a more sustainable and scalable deployment of connected devices. Furthermore, the paper discusses the challenges and limitations of implementing AI-driven routing protocols in IoT environments. The paper critically analyzes issues such as the computational constraints of low-power devices, scalability in large networks, and privacy concerns associated with data collection and analysis. Addressing these challenges is essential for the broader adoption of AI-optimized solutions in energy-efficient IoT networks. In conclusion, this research emphasizes the importance of AI in optimizing routing protocols for energy efficiency in IoT systems. As the number of connected devices continues to rise, the need for intelligent, adaptive, and energy-efficient routing solutions becomes increasingly critical. The findings of this paper contribute to the ongoing discourse on AI applications in IoT and suggest avenues for future research and development that can further enhance the sustainability and functionality of IoT networks. By integrating AI into routing protocols, the potential for improved energy efficiency and extended operational lifespans can be realized, ultimately paving the way for more resilient and sustainable IoT infrastructures.

**Keywords:** (Sentence case and followed by colon) followed by 3 to 7 words that describe the focus and contribution of the paper.

## 1 | Introduction

The rapid growth of the Internet of Things (IoT) has ushered in a transformative era in which billions of devices, from sensors to everyday appliances, are interconnected to create seamless, intelligent networks. These IoT networks have become foundational to a wide range of applications, including smart cities, industrial automation, healthcare, agriculture, and environmental monitoring [1]. By enabling devices to

Corresponding Author: nasefa50@yahoo.com

https://doi.org/10.48313/siot.v2i1.268

communicate and collaborate, IoT networks enhance efficiency, allow real-time monitoring, and drive innovation across numerous fields. For example, in healthcare, IoT devices monitor patients' vital signs, providing critical data that healthcare professionals can use to offer timely interventions. In agriculture, IoT sensors gather soil moisture, temperature, and humidity data, helping farmers optimize water usage and crop health. Despite these advances, IoT networks face significant challenges, especially around energy consumption.

Energy efficiency is a central concern in IoT networks because IoT devices typically have limited battery life and processing power. Since many IoT applications, such as remote environmental monitoring, require devices to operate in challenging and sometimes inaccessible environments, replacing or recharging batteries frequently is often impractical. For example, in a smart city, thousands of sensors may be spread across various locations; any inefficiency in energy use could lead to premature battery depletion, causing service interruptions and increasing maintenance costs. Consequently, developing strategies to extend IoT device battery life is critical to the sustainability and scalability of IoT deployments [2]. Efficient energy management not only prolongs network lifetime but also reduces the environmental impact associated with frequent battery replacements.

Routing in IoT networks presents unique challenges that make energy efficiency even more complex to achieve. Unlike traditional networks, IoT networks are often large-scale, decentralized, and heterogeneous, comprising devices with varying capabilities and resources. These devices must maintain reliable communication while minimizing energy use, even as the network topology shifts dynamically due to node mobility, environmental factors, and occasional device failures [2]. Conventional routing protocols, originally designed for traditional networks, struggle to meet these requirements because they lack the adaptability and optimization needed to address the dynamic, resource-constrained nature of IoT networks. Standard protocols like Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks (RPL) and Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH) focus on energy efficiency but lack real-time adaptability, making it difficult to respond efficiently to frequent changes in IoT networks [3].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising solution for optimizing routing protocols in IoT networks. By leveraging AI, specifically Machine Learning (ML) and Reinforcement Learning (RL), IoT networks can dynamically adapt to changing conditions, predict optimal routes, and make decisions that reduce energy consumption while maintaining connectivity [4]. ML algorithms can analyze data from network nodes to predict traffic patterns, anticipate congestion, and optimize routing paths based on real-time conditions. RL, in particular, enables IoT devices to learn from past experiences and identify routes that minimize energy use over time. AI-driven optimization adds flexibility and intelligence that traditional routing protocols lack, enabling IoT networks to operate more sustainably [5].

# 2 | Literature Review

## 2.1 | Overview of Internet of Things Routing Protocols

Routing protocols play a vital role in IoT networks, where maintaining connectivity while minimizing energy consumption is crucial. Traditional routing protocols designed for IoT, such as RPL and LEACH, have been developed with energy efficiency as a core focus.

RPL, standardized by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), is widely used in Low-Power and Lossy Networks (LLNs). It structures the network into a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) based on destination-oriented routing. Nodes in RPL can select parent nodes dynamically, depending on metrics like link quality, latency, and energy. This approach enables RPL to adapt to network conditions and minimize energy consumption by optimizing packet forwarding paths. However, RPL can be limited in rapidly changing network topologies, as it requires frequent recalculations of the DAG, which can lead to additional energy drain [3].

LEACH, another prominent protocol, uses clustering to conserve energy. In LEACH, nodes self-organize into clusters, with one node designated as the "cluster head." Cluster heads are responsible for aggregating data from their respective clusters and transmitting it to a base station, reducing the need for all nodes to communicate directly with the base station. This strategy conserves energy by minimizing the overall number of transmissions within the network. To prevent any single node from being overburdened and depleting its energy, LEACH periodically rotates cluster heads among nodes. Although LEACH effectively reduces energy usage, it does not account for the dynamic nature of IoT networks or the real-time adjustments needed to respond to fluctuating conditions, which can limit its long-term effectiveness.

## 2.2 | Energy Efficiency in Routing Protocols

Researchers have developed several methods to further improve energy efficiency in IoT routing protocols. Key techniques include clustering, sleep/wake cycling, and adaptive routing. Clustering, as exemplified in LEACH, groups nodes into clusters to reduce the number of direct transmissions to the base station. This argument not only saves energy but also helps scale the network by reducing communication overhead. However, clustering-based protocols must carefully balance energy distribution among nodes to prevent cluster heads from exhausting their energy too quickly [6].

Sleep/wake cycling is another technique where nodes enter a low-power "sleep" mode when they are not actively transmitting data, waking up only when necessary. This approach is particularly useful in environments where IoT devices monitor infrequent events or periodic data, such as in environmental monitoring. Protocols like S-MAC (Sensor-MAC) use sleep/wake cycling to help nodes conserve energy without significantly impacting network performance [7].

Adaptive routing enables IoT networks to adjust routing paths based on real-time network conditions. For example, some protocols allow nodes to switch paths or select alternative parent nodes when a primary route experiences congestion or excessive energy consumption. Adaptive routing benefits large, dynamic networks but can impose computational overhead on low-power devices.

## 2.3 | Artificial Intelligence in Routing

AI, particularly ML and RL, has recently gained attention as a solution to the limitations of traditional IoT routing protocols. AI-based approaches enable IoT networks to optimize routing in real-time by predicting and adapting to network changes more efficiently than static algorithms.

ML techniques, such as decision trees and neural networks, can help predict the optimal route based on factors like link stability, traffic density, and node energy levels. By continuously learning from past data, these models can identify patterns that optimize network-wide energy consumption. For instance, neural networks can analyze historical traffic data to predict high-traffic areas and dynamically reroute packets to balance network load, reducing energy consumption for nodes on heavily trafficked paths [4], [8].

RL further enhances the adaptability of IoT routing protocols by allowing nodes to learn from experience and make decisions that maximize energy efficiency. In RL-based routing, each node or agent observes its environment, takes actions (such as selecting a routing path), and receives feedback as rewards based on energy consumption and latency. Through repeated interactions with the network, nodes learn the most energy-efficient routes over time. Techniques such as Q-learning and Deep Q-Networks (DQN) are particularly useful, enabling nodes to adapt to dynamic environments with minimal pre-defined rules [5].

These AI-driven approaches bring a level of intelligence to IoT routing, making it possible to manage network resources more effectively, prolong network life, and address the inherent limitations of traditional protocols.

# 3 | Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Routing in Internet of Things

## 3.1 | Machine Learning Methods

ML methods have proven effective in optimizing routing protocols for IoT networks by predicting optimal routes based on environmental and network conditions. These methods can analyze patterns in traffic data, node energy levels, and link quality, using this information to make routing decisions that minimize energy consumption and reduce latency. Supervised learning algorithms, such as decision trees and support vector machines, classify network conditions and suggest optimal paths based on historical data. These algorithms are beneficial in relatively stable environments where past patterns are likely to repeat.

However, due to the dynamic nature of IoT networks, supervised learning alone may not be sufficient. More advanced ML techniques, like neural networks, are commonly used to model complex relationships between routing variables and energy efficiency. For instance, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) can analyze sequential data, such as time-series traffic data, to predict future congestion levels and enable more accurate route predictions. By learning from past network states and traffic patterns, neural networks can provide adaptive routing solutions that respond to real-time changes, thereby optimizing network resources and prolonging device battery life.

## 3.2 | Reinforcement Learning

Among AI approaches, RL is particularly suited for dynamic routing in IoT networks, where conditions change frequently, and optimal paths must adapt accordingly. In RL-based routing, an IoT node is treated as an agent that interacts with its environment (the network) by choosing specific actions (e.g., selecting a path). Each action yields a reward or penalty based on its outcome, such as the level of energy consumption or data latency incurred. By maximizing cumulative rewards over time, the RL agent learns to make decisions that conserve energy while maintaining efficient data transmission [5].

Q-learning is a popular RL algorithm used in IoT routing. In Q-learning, nodes maintain a Q-table that stores expected rewards for each possible action-state pair. Over time, nodes update the Q-values based on their experiences, converging on the most energy-efficient routing paths. As the network topology changes due to node mobility or fluctuating link quality, Q-learning enables the network to adapt by continuously learning and adjusting routes. This adaptability is crucial for IoT applications where network conditions are often unpredictable, such as in vehicular networks or environmental monitoring systems.

DQN extends Q-learning by incorporating deep learning. Instead of using a Q-table, DQNs use neural networks to approximate Q-values, making them scalable to larger networks with complex environments. By training a deep neural network on historical routing data, DQNs enable nodes to generalize across various network states, predicting optimal actions even in previously unseen conditions. This capability is beneficial in large-scale IoT deployments, where maintaining a Q-table for every possible state-action pair would be impractical due to memory constraints. DQNs can help ensure that IoT devices use minimal energy by selecting routes that avoid high-traffic areas, optimizing both energy use and network performance.

## 3.3 | Benefits of Artificial Intelligence in Internet of Things Routing

AI techniques, particularly ML and RL, offer several benefits to IoT routing that traditional algorithms cannot. The primary advantages include:

Adaptability: Unlike static routing protocols, AI-driven methods continuously adapt to changing network conditions, such as varying traffic loads, node failures, and shifting environmental factors. This adaptability helps AI-based routing protocols perform well in dynamic, large-scale IoT networks, where conditions can shift rapidly.

Real-time optimization: AI algorithms can make routing decisions in real time by processing large amounts of data from sensors, actuators, and other IoT devices. Real-time optimization is especially important in applications where delays could affect outcomes, such as healthcare monitoring or industrial automation. For example, by predicting when certain network paths are likely to become congested, AI-enabled routing protocols can reroute data preemptively, minimizing delays and conserving energy.

Predictive capabilities: ML models can analyze past network behavior to predict future conditions. By understanding patterns in network traffic and energy consumption, AI-based protocols can anticipate issues like congestion and adjust routes to avoid them. This predictive capability not only enhances energy efficiency but also reduces the risk of network disruptions.

Extended network lifespan: By optimizing energy usage and balancing the workload across nodes, AI-based routing protocols can significantly extend the overall lifespan of the IoT network. This argument is crucial in scenarios where devices are deployed in remote or inaccessible locations, such as environmental monitoring sensors in forests or underwater IoT devices, where battery replacement is costly and logistically challenging.

Scalability: AI techniques can handle the complexities of large-scale IoT networks with numerous devices and varying requirements. As IoT networks grow, AI-driven routing protocols can scale efficiently, maintaining energy efficiency and optimal performance without manual intervention.

In summary, AI-based routing protocols offer a transformative approach to addressing the unique challenges of IoT networks, particularly regarding energy efficiency and adaptability. By utilizing ML and RL, IoT networks can dynamically adjust routing decisions, extend network lifespan, and support complex, large-scale applications. As IoT networks continue to expand, AI's role in optimizing energy-efficient routing will become increasingly vital, enabling more sustainable and resilient network infrastructures.

# 4 | Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the promising potential of AI-optimized routing protocols to enhance energy efficiency in IoT networks, several challenges must be addressed to enable widespread adoption and implementation. One significant challenge is the computational requirements associated with deploying AI algorithms on resource-constrained IoT devices. Many IoT devices are equipped with limited processing power and memory, making it difficult to execute complex ML models locally. This limitation necessitates the development of lightweight algorithms that can operate efficiently on low-power devices while maintaining effective routing capabilities. Furthermore, real-time processing in dynamic environments places additional strain on IoT devices, requiring optimized code and reduced model complexity [9].

Scalability is another critical issue, particularly as the number of connected IoT devices continues to grow exponentially. AI algorithms must efficiently handle large-scale networks without degrading performance or requiring excessive computational resources. IoT network topology is inherently dynamic, with devices frequently joining or leaving the network. AI routing protocols need to be adaptable, learning from the changing environment without incurring significant overhead, which can compromise energy savings. This adaptability requires robust training datasets that accurately reflect diverse network conditions, which may be challenging to obtain in practice.

Privacy concerns also pose significant challenges for implementing AI in IoT routing. Many AI techniques rely on collecting and analyzing vast amounts of data, which can include sensitive information about users and their behaviors. Safeguarding this data while still leveraging it for effective routing decisions is essential to maintain user trust and comply with privacy regulations. Techniques such as federated learning, which allows decentralized training of AI models across multiple devices without sharing raw data, can help address privacy issues. Federated learning lets devices learn from local data while contributing to a global model, preserving privacy while still benefiting from collaborative intelligence [10].

Looking ahead, several promising directions could enhance the effectiveness of AI-optimized routing protocols in IoT networks. Hybrid approaches that combine multiple AI techniques, such as RL and deep learning, may yield more robust solutions. These hybrid models can better adapt to varying network conditions by leveraging the strengths of different algorithms. Furthermore, as AI techniques advance, they can integrate with emerging technologies such as 5G networks, which offer higher bandwidth and lower latency, further enhancing the capabilities of AI-optimized routing.

# 5 | Conclusion

In conclusion, AI-optimized routing protocols represent a significant step toward energy-efficient IoT networks. Integrating AI techniques not only addresses the inherent challenges of traditional routing protocols but also introduces adaptability, predictive capabilities, and real-time optimization essential for modern IoT applications. By managing network resources effectively, these AI-driven solutions can significantly extend the lifespan of IoT networks, reduce maintenance costs, and enhance overall performance. As research continues to tackle the challenges of computational efficiency, scalability, and privacy, the potential for AI to transform energy management in IoT networks will only increase. Future innovations in this field will pave the way for more sustainable and resilient IoT infrastructures, ultimately supporting the continued growth and development of smart technologies across sectors.

# Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely appreciate the valuable contributions and support provided throughout this research.

# Funding

No specific funding was received for this research.

# Data Availability

The data used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# References

[1] Asghari, P., Rahmani, A. M., & Javadi, H. H. S. (2019). Internet of Things applications: A systematic review. *Computer Networks*, *148*, 241–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2018.12.008

[2] Yadav, R., & Kumar, V. (2024). A systematic review paper on energy-efficient routing protocols in Internet of things. *IETE Journal of Research*, *70*(5), 4721–4743. https://doi.org/10.1080/03772063.2023.2230169

[3] Kharrufa, H., Al-Kashoash, H. A. A., & Kemp, A. H. (2019). RPL-based routing protocols in IoT applications: A review. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *19*(15), 5952–5967. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2019.2910881

[4] Charef, N., Mnaouer, A. Ben, Aloqaily, M., Bouachir, O., & Guizani, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence implication on energy sustainability in internet of things: A survey. *Information Processing & Management*, *60*(2), 103212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.103212

[5] Frikha, M. S., Gammar, S. M., Lahmadi, A., & Andrey, L. (2021). Reinforcement and deep reinforcement learning for wireless Internet of Things: A survey. *Computer Communications*, *178*, 98–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2021.07.014

[6] Daanoune, I., Abdennaceur, B., & Ballouk, A. (2021). A comprehensive survey on LEACH-based clustering routing protocols in Wireless sensor networks. *Ad Hoc Networks*, *114*, 102409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adhoc.2020.102409

[7] Ye, W., Heidemann, J., & Estrin, D. (2002). An energy-efficient mac protocol for wireless sensor networks. *Proceedings. Twenty-First Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies* (Vol. 3, pp. 1567–1576). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/INFCOM.2002.1019408

[8] Jagannath, J., Polosky, N., Jagannath, A., Restuccia, F., & Melodia, T. (2019). Machine learning for wireless communications in the internet of things: A comprehensive survey. *Ad Hoc Networks*, *93*, 101913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adhoc.2019.101913

[9] Shi, W., Cao, J., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, L. (2016). Edge computing: Vision and challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, *3*(5), 637–646. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2016.2579198

[10] Bonawitz, K., Eichner, H., Grieskamp, W., Huba, D., Ingerman, A., & Ivanov, V. (2019). Towards federated learning at scale: System design. *Proceedings of Machine Learning and Systems*, *1*, 374–388. https://proceedings.mlsys.org/paper_files/paper/2019/file/7b770da633baf74895be22a8807f1a8f-Paper.pdf